Editorial

Annals of Internal Medicine

National Electrocardiography Screening for Competitive Athletes: Feasible in the United States?

Sudden death in young persons, associated with participation in competitive athletics, has generated considerable visibility and concern in both the general public and medical community (1–7). Such deaths, particularly when associated with unsuspected cardiovascular disease, have become a prominent public health debate focused on strategies to prevent these catastrophes (8–11). Two primary prevention initiatives have evolved in an effort to create a safer athletic environment: preparticipation screening in athlete populations to identify the culprit diseases (3, 5, 12–17), and eligibility and disqualification standards to prohibit athletes identified with cardiovascular abnormalities from engaging in competitive sports to reduce their risk (2, 4).

The efficacy of the preparticipation screening process has been scrutinized (8, 9). Substantial contributions from investigators in Italy have energized this dialogue, reporting that the routine addition of 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) to systematic screening of competitive sports participants reliably identified potentially fatal cardiac diseases, such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (12, 15).

In Italy, for the past 27 years, a mandatory national program has been used to screen all competitive athletes with a medical history, physical examination, and 12-lead ECG and disqualify those identified with various cardiovascular diseases (3, 12, 15). This program attracted heightened visibility, with an observational study from the Veneto, Italy, that reported a substantially reduced incidence of cardiovascular disease–related sudden death associated with the implementation of the national screening program (12).

In this issue, 2 groups from respected U.S. centers offer much-needed data relevant to screening with ECG, an area impaired by a paucity of evidence. In a study of 510 collegiate student–athletes, Baggish and colleagues (18) report that screening with ECG enhanced sensitivity and negative predictive accuracy (compared with history and physical examination alone) for detection of cardiovas-cular abnormalities; however, this strategy was also associated with a high rate of false-positive results. In a detailed economic analysis, Wheeler and coworkers (19) present a construct supporting the cost-efficacy of ECG in screening athletes for cardiovascular disease.

Although mass ECG screening has its proponents (8) (largely in Europe [3]), it is useful to dissect this issue without bias from all perspectives by focusing on resources, implementation, and societal influences relevant to translating the Italian model to the very different U.S. health care system. Screening of general populations for diseases responsible for sudden death in athletes (7) is a far more

complex venture than it might seem initially. Excessively simplistic conclusions about screening are reminiscent of the allegory in which 6 blind men come in contact with different parts of an elephant and each is convinced that their interpretation is correct without realizing that they are describing only one part of the animal, and none of them is completely accurate.

MANDATED SCREENING

Considerable confusion about screening with ECG persists, and it is important to first frame what has become a complex debate. Italian preparticipation screening with ECG is a federally subsidized program required of all athletes (aged 12 to 35 years) and anchored in Italian law (2, 3, 12, 15)—which is much different from the United States, in which history and physical examination screening without additional testing is customary practice (5, 13, 14). A multitude of factors reinforce the impracticality of creating such a massive and expensive governmental program within the U.S. medical system, confined to only athletes and administered long-term.

First, the U.S. population is 300 million, with an athlete population of an estimated 15 million—who largely participate in high school and college sports programs easily exceeding that in Italy (about 6 million athletes). An ambitious screening program limited to young persons who choose organized sports would probably be regarded as exclusionary and discriminatory because most sudden deaths due to genetic heart disease occur in nonathletes. Therefore, any systematic program with ECG screening would probably require the participation of all children in the United States (there are 75 million persons younger than 18 years).

Second, although sudden deaths of young athletes are tragic, these are uncommon events in the United States—fewer than 100 annually (7) or 1 in 220 000 participants (20), with a low event rate no different from that in the Veneto region of Italy over the past 11 years (11).

Third, as noted (18), inclusion of ECGs may lead to screening with low specificity and positive predictive value, as well as a high rate of false-positive ECG results (10% to 20% [5, 9, 16, 21, 22] and 17% [18]) requiring extensive noninvasive testing that would unavoidably promote inappropriate disqualifications, unnecessary anxiety, and possibly chaos in a national program (5). False-negative ECG results may also occur, particularly in young athletes with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or congenital coronary artery anomalies (5, 22, 23).

Fourth, although it is impossible to assign a monetary value to the life of a young athlete and despite the interesting data from Wheeler and coworkers (19) and the relatively low mortality rate (7, 11), cost-efficacy considerations will inevitably persist in this dialogue. The American Heart Association's panel estimated that the initial annual cost of a national screening program would be about \$2 billion (5).

Fifth, certain societal, cultural, and legal considerations may limit acceptance of mandatory screening, including the inevitable perception by some that disqualification from sports represents an infringement on individual liberty and the freedom to assume personal risks, even for sudden death. Also deserving of consideration is the potential exposure to legal liability for examining physicians who (under the Italian model) are responsible for establishing diagnosis and enforcing disqualification. Such circumstances would inevitably lead to federal lawsuits disputing disqualification decisions, with U.S. physicians positioned as defendants.

Finally, and perhaps most important, is the matter of resources and logistics. It is underappreciated that the Italian program is workable largely because of the availability of a dedicated cadre of primary care sports medicine physicians (without in-hospital postgraduate training) who have formal responsibilities that are confined to evaluating athletes for sports eligibility. Such physician resources simply do not exist in the United States (or probably any other country), and consequently, a nationwide screening program would create substantial additional burden to an already overworked and overcommitted physician workforce.

INDIVIDUAL SCREENING INITIATIVES

Although the American Heart Association's consensus panel (5) does not endorse mandatory ECG screening for all competitive athletes, it does not discourage less ambitious screening initiatives in individual high schools, colleges, and local communities, as reported by Baggish and colleagues (18) for the intercollegiate athletic program at Harvard University. Some programs in universities (16, 17), certain professional sports in the United States (for example, basketball and football [24, 25]), and some European Olympic teams (6) have selectively undertaken routine ECG screening. Of course, many of the aforementioned obstacles to mass screening nationally are also relevant to regional or local initiatives, not the least of which are false-positive test results and the challenge of recruiting long-term financial and manpower commitments.

CONCLUSION

Prevention of sudden death due largely to congenital and genetic cardiovascular diseases in young, trained athletes has become a highly visible and controversial public health and societal issue, albeit a deceptively complex one involving diverse clinical practice disciplines. However, convincing solutions continue to be elusive. The attraction of the Italian screening model is perhaps understandable, given that ECG is a relatively simple and inexpensive test that the medical community is comfortable in performing. However, on closer inspection, when even such an apparently "simple" test is applied to large, healthy populations, important limitations become obvious, temporizing initial enthusiasm with prudent restraint. At this time, the aforementioned obstacles probably prohibit the creation of a mandatory, systematic preparticipation screening program with ECGs confined to young persons in competitive sports in the United States.

Barry J. Maron, MD

Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation Minneapolis, MN 55407

Potential Conflicts of Interest: Disclosures can be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M09 -2799.

Requests for Single Reprints: Barry J. Maron, MD, Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Center, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation, 920 East 28th Street, Suite 620, Minneapolis, MN 55407; e-mail, hcm.maron @mhif.org.

Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:324-326.

References

1. Maron BJ. Sudden death in young athletes. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:1064-75. [PMID: 12968091]

2. Pelliccia A, Fagard R, Bjørnstad HH, Anastassakis A, Arbustini E, Assanelli D, et al; Study Group of Sports Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology. Recommendations for competitive sports participation in athletes with cardiovascular disease: a consensus document from the Study Group of Sports Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology and the Working Group of Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:1422-45. [PMID: 15923204]

3. Corrado D, Pelliccia A, Bjørnstad HH, Vanhees L, Biffi A, Borjesson M, et al; Study Group of Sport Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology and the Working Group of Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. Cardiovascular pre-participation screening of young competitive athletes for prevention of sudden death: proposal for a common European protocol. Consensus Statement of the Study Group of Sport Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology and the Working Group of Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2005; 26:516-24. [PMID: 15689345]

4. Maron BJ, Zipes DP. Introduction: eligibility recommendations for competitive athletes with cardiovascular abnormalities-general considerations. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1318-21. [PMID: 15837280]

5. Maron BJ, Thompson PD, Ackerman MJ, Balady G, Berger S, Cohen D, et al; American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism. Recommendations and considerations related to preparticipation screening for cardiovascular abnormalities in competitive athletes: 2007 update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism: endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation. 2007;115:1643-455. [PMID: 17353433]

6. Bille K, Figueiras D, Schamasch P, Kappenberger L, Brenner JI, Meijboom FJ, et al. Sudden cardiac death in athletes: the Lausanne Recommendations. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2006;13:859-75. [PMID: 17143117]

7. Maron BJ, Doerer JJ, Haas TS, Tierney DM, Mueller FO. Sudden deaths in young competitive athletes: analysis of 1866 deaths in the United States, 1980-2006. Circulation. 2009;119:1085-92. [PMID: 19221222]

8. Myerburg RJ, Vetter VL. Electrocardiograms should be included in preparticipation screening of athletes. Circulation. 2007;116:2616-26; discussion 2626.

2 March 2010 Annals of Internal Medicine Volume 152 • Number 5 325

EDITORIAL | Feasibility of National Screening With Electrocardiography

[PMID: 18040041]

9. Chaitman BR. An electrocardiogram should not be included in routine preparticipation screening of young athletes. Circulation. 2007;116:2610-4; discussion 2615. [PMID: 18040040]

10. Thompson PD, Levine BD. Protecting athletes from sudden cardiac death [Editorial]. JAMA. 2006;296:1648-50. [PMID: 17018808]

11. Maron BJ, Haas TS, Doerer JJ, Thompson PD, Hodges JS. Comparison of U.S. and Italian experiences with sudden cardiac deaths in young competitive athletes and implications for preparticipation screening strategies. Am J Cardiol. 2009;104:276-80. [PMID: 19576360]

12. Corrado D, Basso C, Pavei A, Michieli P, Schiavon M, Thiene G. Trends in sudden cardiovascular death in young competitive athletes after implementation of a preparticipation screening program. JAMA. 2006;296:1593-601. [PMID: 17018804]

13. Glover DW, Glover DW, Maron BJ. Evolution in the process of screening United States high school student-athletes for cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 2007;100:1709-12. [PMID: 18036373]

 Pfister GC, Puffer JC, Maron BJ. Preparticipation cardiovascular screening for US collegiate student-athletes. JAMA. 2000;283:1597-9. [PMID: 10735397]
Corrado D, Basso C, Schiavon M, Thiene G. Screening for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in young athletes. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:364-9. [PMID: 9691102]

16. Maron BJ, Bodison SA, Wesley YE, Tucker E, Green KJ. Results of screening a large group of intercollegiate competitive athletes for cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1987;10:1214-21. [PMID: 2960727]

17. Lewis JF, Maron BJ, Diggs JA, Spencer JE, Mehrotra PP, Curry CL. Preparticipation echocardiographic screening for cardiovascular disease in a large, predominantly black population of collegiate athletes. Am J Cardiol. 1989;64: 1029-33. [PMID: 2816733]

18. Baggish AL, Hutter AM Jr, Wang F, Yared K, Weiner RB, Kupperman E, et al. Cardiovascular screening in college athletes with and without electrocardiography. A cross-sectional study. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:269-75.

19. Wheeler MT, Heidenreich PA, Froelicher VF, Hlatky MA, Ashley EA. Cost-effectiveness of preparticipation screening for prevention of sudden cardiac death in young athletes. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:276-86.

20. Maron BJ, Gohman TE, Aeppli D. Prevalence of sudden cardiac death during competitive sports activities in Minnesota high school athletes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32:1881-4. [PMID: 9857867]

21. Pelliccia A, Di Paolo FM, Corrado D, Buccolieri C, Quattrini FM, Pisicchio C, et al. Evidence for efficacy of the Italian national pre-participation screening programme for identification of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in competitive athletes. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:2196-200. [PMID: 16831826]

22. Corrado D, Basso C, Schiavon M, Pelliccia A, Thiene G. Pre-participation screening of young competitive athletes for prevention of sudden cardiac death. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52:1981-9. [PMID: 19055989]

23. Basso C, Maron BJ, Corrado D, Thiene G. Clinical profile of congenital coronary artery anomalies with origin from the wrong aortic sinus leading to sudden death in young competitive athletes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;35:1493-501. [PMID: 10807452]

24. Harris KM, Sponsel A, Hutter AM Jr, Maron BJ. Brief communication: cardiovascular screening practices of major North American professional sports teams. Ann Intern Med. 2006;145:507-11. [PMID: 17015868]

25. Choo JK, Abernethy WB 3rd, Hutter AM Jr. Electrocardiographic observations in professional football players. Am J Cardiol. 2002;90:198-200. [PMID: 12106861]

DISCLOSURE OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Annals now requires authors who submit manuscripts for consideration to complete the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) conflict of interest disclosure form. This form, which is discussed further at www.icmje.org/format.pdf, is intended to facilitate detailed reporting of conflicts of interest and standardize the format of reporting across ICMJE member journals. A sample of the form is available at www.icmje.org/sample_disclosures.pdf; instructions are available at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosures.pdf. Copyright of Annals of Internal Medicine is the property of American College of Physicians and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.